

# ON THE ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS $\Delta u = K(x)u^\sigma$ AND $\Delta u = K(x)e^{2u}$

KUO-SHUNG CHENG AND JENN-TSANN LIN

ABSTRACT. We give some nonexistence results for the equations  $\Delta u = K(x)u^\sigma$  and  $\Delta u = K(x)e^{2u}$  for  $K(x) \geq 0$ .

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we study the elliptic equations

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta u = K(x)u^\sigma \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta u = K(x)e^{2u} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where  $\sigma > 1$  is a constant,  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2$  and  $K(\cdot)$  is a bounded Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We are concerned with the existence problems of locally bounded and positive solutions for (1.1) and locally bounded solutions for (1.2).

These problems come from geometry. We give a brief description and refer the details to Kazdan and Warner [5] and Ni [13, 14]. Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $K(\cdot)$  be a given function on  $M$ . We ask the following question: can one find a new metric  $g_1$  on  $M$  such that  $K$  is the scalar curvature of  $g_1$  and  $g_1$  is conformal to  $g$  (i.e.,  $g_1 = \psi g$  for some function  $\psi > 0$  on  $M$ )? In the case  $n \geq 3$ , we write  $\psi = u^{4/(n-2)}$ . Then this problem is equivalent to the problem of finding positive solutions of the equation

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \Delta u - ku + Ku^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = 0,$$

where  $\Delta$ ,  $k$  are the Laplacian and scalar curvature in the  $g$  metric, respectively. In the case  $M = \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $g = (\delta_{ij})$ , then  $k = 0$  and equation (1.3) reduces to (1.1) with  $\sigma = (n+2)/(n-2)$ , after an appropriate scaling and sign changing of  $K(\cdot)$ . In the case  $n = 2$ , we write  $\psi = e^{2u}$ . Then this problem is equivalent to the problem of finding locally bounded solutions of the equation

$$(1.4) \quad \Delta u - k + Ke^{2u} = 0,$$

where  $\Delta$ ,  $k$  are the Laplacian and Gaussian curvature on  $M$  in the  $g$  metric. In the case  $M = \mathbf{R}^2$  and  $g = (\delta_{ij})$ , we have  $k = 0$  and equation (1.4) reduces to (1.2), after a sign changing of  $K$ .

---

Received by the editors July 23, 1986 and, in revised form, December 23, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 35J60; Secondary 45G10.

*Key words and phrases.* Semilinear elliptic equations.

Work of the first author was supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China under contract NSC75-0208-M009-05.

©1987 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9947/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

In [13 and 14], Ni makes major contributions to the existence of solutions for (1.1) and (1.2). After these two papers, there are many improved results published, such as McOwen [10, 11], Naito [12], Kawano, Kusano and Naito [3], Kawano and Kusano [4], Kusano and Oharu [7], Ding and Ni [1], Kusano, Swanson and Usami [8] and Lin [9].

In this paper, we consider the case  $K(x) \geq 0$  in (1.1) and (1.2). We obtain some nonexistence results which make the understanding of the case  $K(x) \geq 0$  almost complete. We divide this paper into two parts. In Part I, we consider (1.1). Thus we consider the case (1.1) with  $n \geq 3$  in §2, (1.1) with  $n = 2$  in §3 and (1.1) with  $n = 1$  in §4. We consider (1.2) in Part II. Thus we consider the case (1.2) with  $n \geq 3$  in §5, (1.2) with  $n = 2$  in §6 and (1.2) with  $n = 1$  in §7.

We remark that the technique of the proof of the main nonexistence theorem is essentially equivalent to the proof of Keller [6]. We thank the referee for bringing the reference [6] to our attention.

#### PART I. $\Delta u = K(x)u^\sigma$

**2. The case  $n \geq 3$ .** In this case, Ni [13] proves the main existence result: Let  $K$  be bounded. If  $|K(x)| \leq C/|x|^{2+\varepsilon}$  at  $\infty$  for some constants  $C > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then equation (1.1) has infinitely many bounded solutions in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with positive lower bounds. Later on, Naito [12] improves the result: If  $|K(x)| \leq \phi(|x|)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\int_0^\infty t\phi(t)dt < \infty$ , then equation (1.1) has infinite many bounded positive solutions which tend to a positive constant at  $\infty$ . On the other hand, when  $K(x) \geq 0$ , Ni [13] proves a nonexistence result: If  $K(x) \geq C/|x|^{2-\varepsilon}$  at  $\infty$  for some constants  $C > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Lin [9] proves that it is still true even  $\varepsilon = 0$ . In view of Naito's existence result, we expect that the following conjecture be true.

**CONJECTURE.** Let  $K(x) \geq \tilde{K}(|x|) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\int_0^\infty s\tilde{K}(s)ds = \infty$ . Then (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

We give three theorems which almost answer this conjecture completely. Following Ni [13], we define the averages of  $u(x) > 0$  and  $K(x) \geq 0$  by  $\bar{u}(r)$  and  $\bar{K}(r)$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{u}(r) = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{|x|=r} u(x) dS,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \bar{K}(r) = \left( \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{|x|=r} \frac{dS}{K(x)^{\mu/\sigma}} \right)^{-\sigma/\mu},$$

where  $dS$  denotes the volume element in the surface integral,  $\omega_n$  denotes the surface area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $1/\mu + 1/\sigma = 1$ .

For the sake of completeness, we give another proof of Lin's result of nonexistence [9] in the following.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $K(x)$  be a locally Hölder continuous function. If  $K(x) \geq 0$  and  $\bar{K}(r) \geq C/r^2$  for  $r$  large for some constant  $C > 0$ , then equation (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .*

PROOF. Let  $u$  be a positive solution of (1.1) in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then from Ni [12, Lemma 3.21], we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{u}''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} \bar{u}'(r) \geq \bar{K}(r) \bar{u}^\sigma(r) & \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ \bar{u}(0) = \alpha > 0, \quad \bar{u}'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq \alpha + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^r s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds.$$

Now assume that  $\bar{K}(r) \geq C/r^2$  for  $r \geq R_0$ . Let  $r > R_0$ . Then from (2.4), we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{u}(r) &\geq \alpha + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^{R_0} s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{R_0}^r s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\ &\geq \alpha + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{R_0}^r s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\ &\geq \alpha + \frac{\alpha^\sigma}{n-2} \cdot C \cdot \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{n-2} \right] \cdot \int_{R_0}^{r/2} \frac{1}{s} ds \\ &\geq C_1 \log r \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C_1 > 0$  and  $r \geq R_1 > 2R_0$ . For  $R > R_1$  and  $R \leq s \leq r \leq 2R$ , we have

$$(2.6) \quad 1/2 \leq s/r \leq 1.$$

Hence

$$(2.7) \quad s \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] = \frac{s}{r^{n-2}} [r^{n-2} - s^{n-2}] \geq (n-2) \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{n-2} (r-s).$$

From (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq C_1 \log R + \frac{C_2}{R^2} \int_R^r (r-s) \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds$$

for  $R > R_1$  and  $R \leq r \leq 2R$ , where  $C_2 > 0$  is a constant. Let

$$(2.9) \quad g(r) = C_1 \log R + \frac{C_2}{R^2} \int_R^r (r-s) \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds.$$

Then

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} g(R) &= C_1 \log R, \quad g'(R) = 0, \\ g'(r) &= \frac{C_2}{R^2} \int_R^r \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad g''(r) = \frac{C_2}{R^2} \bar{u}^\sigma(r) \geq \frac{C_2}{R^2} (g(r))^\sigma.$$

From (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$2g''(r)g'(r) \geq \frac{2C_2}{R^2} (g(r))^\sigma g'(r),$$

or

$$\frac{d}{dr} \{ [g'(r)]^2 \} \geq \frac{2C_2}{R^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma+1} g^{\sigma+1}(r) \right].$$

Hence

$$(2.12) \quad [g'(r)]^2 \geq \left( \frac{2C_2}{(\sigma+1)R^2} \right) [g^{\sigma+1}(r) - g^{\sigma+1}(R)].$$

Let  $\beta = C_1 \log R = g(R)$  and  $\delta = C_2/R^2$ . Then we have

$$[g'(r)]^2 \geq \frac{2\delta}{\sigma+1} [g^{\sigma+1}(r) - \beta^{\sigma+1}].$$

Thus

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{\beta}^{g(r)} \frac{dg}{\sqrt{g^{\sigma+1} - \beta^{\sigma+1}}} \geq \left( \frac{2\delta}{\sigma+1} \right)^{1/2} \int_R^r ds.$$

Let  $g(r) = \beta z$ , we have

$$(2.14) \quad \int_1^z \frac{dz'}{\sqrt{(z')^{\sigma+1} - 1}} \geq \left( \frac{2\delta}{\sigma+1} \right)^{1/2} \beta^{(\sigma-1)/2} (r - R).$$

Now if we choose  $R$  so large that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \left( \frac{2\delta}{\sigma+1} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \beta^{(\sigma-1)/2} \cdot R &= \left( \frac{2C_2}{(\sigma+1)R^2} \right)^{1/2} (C_1 \log R)^{(\sigma-1)/2} \cdot R \\ &= \left( \frac{2C_2}{\sigma+1} \right)^{1/2} (C_1 \log R)^{(\sigma-1)/2} \\ &> \int_1^\infty \frac{dz}{\sqrt{z^{\sigma+1} - 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there is a  $R_c \leq 2R$ , such that

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow R_c} g(r) = \infty.$$

But  $u(R_c) \geq g(R_c) = \infty$ . This is a contradiction. This completes the proof of this theorem.

Now we can state our main nonexistence results.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function. If  $\bar{K}(r)$  satisfies*

(1) *there exist  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $R_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$ , such that*

$$\bar{K}(r) \geq C/r^\alpha \quad \text{for } r \geq R_0,$$

(2) there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $P > 2$ , such that

$$\int_R^{(P-1)R} r \bar{K}(r) dr \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } R \geq R_0,$$

then equation (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

PROOF. Assume that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u(x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$(2.17) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq \alpha + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^r s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds.$$

From assumption (2), we have

$$(2.18) \quad \int_0^\infty s \bar{K}(s) ds = \infty.$$

Hence

$$\bar{u}(r) \geq \alpha + C \int_0^{r/2} \alpha^\sigma s \bar{K}(s) ds$$

and

$$(2.19) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}(r) = \infty.$$

Thus we can choose  $R_0$  so large that

$$(2.20) \quad \bar{u}(R_0) \geq 1.$$

Now let  $R \geq R_0$ . From assumption (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.21) \quad \bar{u}(PR) &\geq \bar{u}(R) + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_R^{PR} s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{PR} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(R) ds \\ &\geq \bar{u}(R) + \frac{1}{n-2} \cdot \bar{u}^\sigma(R) \cdot \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{P-1}{P} \right)^{n-2} \right] \cdot \int_R^{(P-1)R} s \bar{K}(s) ds \\ &\geq \bar{u}(R) + C_1 \bar{u}^\sigma(R), \end{aligned}$$

where  $1 > C_1 > 0$  and  $C_1$  is a constant.

From (2.20), (2.21) and the fact that  $\sigma > 1$ , we have

$$(2.22) \quad \bar{u}(P^m R) \geq (1 + C_1)^m \quad \text{for all } R \geq R_0 \text{ and } m \geq 1.$$

Choose  $\alpha_1 > 0$  so small that

$$(2.23) \quad \log(1 + C_1) \geq \alpha_1 [\log P + \log(PR_0)].$$

Then

$$(2.24) \quad m \log(1 + C_1) \geq \alpha_1 [m \log P + \log(PR_0)].$$

Hence  $(1 + C_1)^m \geq (P^m R)^{\alpha_1}$  for all  $m \geq 1$  and  $PR_0 \geq R \geq R_0$ . This means that  $\bar{u}(P^m R) \geq (P^m R)^{\alpha_1}$  for all  $m \geq 1$  and  $PR_0 \geq R \geq R_0$ . Hence

$$(2.25) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq r^{\alpha_1} \quad \text{for } r \geq R_0.$$

Now we return to (2.21). We have for  $R \geq R_0$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.26) \quad \bar{u}(P^m R) &\geq C_1 \bar{u}^\sigma(P^{m-1} R) \geq C_1^{(1+\sigma+\dots+\sigma^{m-1})} \cdot \bar{u}^{\sigma^m}(R) \\ &= C_1^{(\sigma^m-1)/(\sigma-1)} \cdot \bar{u}^{\sigma^m}(R), \quad m \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \log(\bar{u}(P^m R)) &\geq \sigma^m \left[ \log \bar{u}(R) + \frac{1 - 1/\sigma^m}{\sigma - 1} \log C_1 \right] \\ &\geq \sigma^m \left[ \alpha_1 \log R - \frac{1}{\sigma - 1} |\log C_1| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $C_2 > 0$  and  $R_1$  sufficiently large, such that

$$(2.28) \quad \alpha_1 \log R_1 \geq \frac{1}{\sigma - 1} |\log C_1| + C_2.$$

Then

$$(2.29) \quad \log(\bar{u}(P^m R)) \geq C_2 \sigma^m$$

for  $R \geq R_1$  and  $m \geq 1$ .

Now we can choose  $\alpha_2$  sufficiently small, such that

$$\log \sigma \geq \alpha_2 (\log P + \log PR_1).$$

Then

$$m \log \sigma \geq \alpha_2 (m \log P + \log PR_1), \quad m \geq 1.$$

Hence  $\sigma^m \geq (P^m R)^{\alpha_2}$  for  $m \geq 1$  and  $PR_1 \geq R \geq R_1$ . Hence from (2.29), we have

$$\bar{u}(P^m R) \geq \exp[C_2 (P^m R)^{\alpha_2}]$$

for  $m \geq 1$  and  $PR_1 \geq R \geq R_1$ . That is,

$$(2.30) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq \exp[C_2 r^{\alpha_2}]$$

for  $r \geq R_1$ . Hence from (2.17), for  $r \geq R_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(r) &\geq \bar{u}(R_1) + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{R_1}^r s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^{\sigma}(s) ds \\ &= \bar{u}(R_1) + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{R_1}^r s \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] [\bar{K}(s) \cdot \bar{u}^{(\sigma-1)/2}(s)] \bar{u}^{(\sigma+1)/2}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now from (2.30) and the assumption (1), we can choose  $R_2 \geq R_1$  so large that

$$\bar{K}(s) \bar{u}^{(\sigma-1)/2}(s) \geq C_3/s^2$$

for  $s \geq R_2$  for some constant  $C_3 > 0$ . Hence we have

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{u}(r) &\geq \bar{u}(R_1) + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{R_2}^r s [\bar{K}(s) \cdot \bar{u}^{(\sigma-1)/2}(s)] \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^{(\sigma+1)/2}(s) ds \\ &\geq \bar{u}(R_2) + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{R_2}^r s \cdot \frac{C_3}{s^2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^{(\sigma+1)/2}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

But from the proof of Theorem 2.1, this is impossible. Hence we complete the proof of this theorem.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function. If  $\bar{K}(r)$  satisfies*

(1)  $\int_0^r s \bar{K}(s) ds$  *is strictly increasing in*  $[0, \infty)$  *and*  $\int_0^\infty s \bar{K}(s) ds = \infty$ ,

(2)  $(s/r)^m \leq \int_0^s t \bar{K}(t) dt / \int_0^r t \bar{K}(t) dt$  *for some finite*  $m > 0$  *and for all*  $r \geq s \geq R_0 > 0$ ,

*then equation (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in*  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

In particular, if  $\bar{K}(r)$  satisfies (1) and  $0 \leq \bar{K}(r) \leq C/r^2$  for  $r \geq R_1$  for some constants  $C > 0$  and  $R_1 > 0$ , then  $\bar{K}(r)$  also satisfies (2) and hence (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

PROOF. Assume that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u(x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have (2.17). Let

$$f(r) = \int_0^r s\bar{K}(s) ds = \eta.$$

Then  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is one-one and onto. Hence  $f^{-1}$  exists and let it be denoted by  $g$ . Let

$$t = f(s), \quad \eta = f(r), \quad \bar{u}(g(\eta)) = v(\eta).$$

Then from (2.17), we have

$$(2.32) \quad v(\eta) \geq \alpha + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^\eta \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{g(t)}{g(\eta)} \right)^{(n-2)} \right] v^\sigma(t) dt.$$

From the assumption (2), we have

$$(2.33) \quad g(t)/g(\eta) \leq (t/\eta)^{1/m} \quad \text{for all } \eta \geq t \geq f(R_0).$$

Hence from (2.32) and (2.33), we have

$$(2.34) \quad v(\eta) \geq \bar{u}(R_0) + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{f(R_0)}^\eta \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{t}{\eta} \right)^{(n-2)/m} \right] v^\sigma(t) dt.$$

But from Theorem 2.1, this is impossible. Hence (1.1) does not possess any positive solution.

If in addition to condition (1),  $\bar{K}(r)$  also satisfies  $0 \leq \bar{K}(r) \leq C/r^2$  for  $r \geq R_1$ . Then we have

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{\int_0^r t\bar{K}(t) dt}{r} \right) = \frac{r^2\bar{K}(r) - \int_0^r t\bar{K}(t) dt}{r^2} \leq \frac{C - \int_0^r t\bar{K}(t) dt}{r^2}$$

for  $r \geq R_1$ . Thus we can choose  $R_2 \geq R_1$  so large that

$$C - \int_0^r t\bar{K}(t) dt \leq 0 \quad \text{for } r \geq R_2.$$

Hence  $\int_0^r t\bar{K}(t) dt/r$  is monotonically decreasing for  $r \geq R_2$ . Thus  $\bar{K}(r)$  satisfies condition (2) for  $r \geq s \geq R_2$ .

This completes the proof of this theorem.

**THEOREM 2.4.** Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\tilde{K}(t)$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $[0, \infty)$ .

Let the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense of (2.2) satisfy:

$$\bar{K}(r) \geq \tilde{K}(r - \beta_i) \quad \text{if } \alpha_i + \beta_i \leq r \leq \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_i,$$

$$\bar{K}(r) \geq 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_i < r < \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1}$$

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  is a strictly increasing sequence satisfying  $\alpha_0 = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\{\beta_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  is a nondecreasing sequence satisfying  $\beta_0 = 0$  and  $\beta_i/\alpha_i \leq M$  for some constant  $M > 0$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . If

$$(2.35) \quad \begin{cases} u''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r}u'(r) = \tilde{K}(r)u^\sigma(r) & \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ u(0) = \alpha > 0, \quad u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

does not possess any solution in  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ , then (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

**PROOF.** Assume that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u(x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$(2.36) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq \alpha + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^r s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds.$$

Now we define the function  $v$  by

$$(2.37) \quad v(r) = \bar{u}(r + \beta_i) \quad \text{if } \alpha_i \leq r < \alpha_{i+1}$$

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . We shall prove that

$$(2.38) \quad v(r) \geq \alpha + \frac{A}{n-2} \int_0^r s \tilde{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] v^\sigma(s) ds,$$

where  $A$  is a positive constant depending only on the constant  $M$ . To prove (2.38), let  $\alpha_i \leq r \leq \alpha_{i+1}$ . Then from (2.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(r + \beta_i) &\geq \alpha + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^{r+\beta_i} s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r+\beta_i} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\ &\geq \alpha + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^{\alpha_1} s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r+\beta_i} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{\alpha_1+\beta_1}^{\alpha_2+\beta_1} s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r+\beta_i} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{\alpha_i+\beta_i}^{r+\beta_i} s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r+\beta_i} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\ &= \alpha + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^{\alpha_1} s \bar{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r+\beta_i} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} (s + \beta_1) \bar{K}(s + \beta_1) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s + \beta_1}{r + \beta_i} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s + \beta_1) ds \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{\alpha_i}^r (s + \beta_i) \bar{K}(s + \beta_i) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s + \beta_i}{r + \beta_i} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s + \beta_i) ds. \end{aligned}$$

But for  $1 \leq j \leq i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \left( \frac{s + \beta_j}{r + \beta_i} \right)^{n-2} &\geq 1 - \left( \frac{s + \beta_i}{r + \beta_i} \right)^{n-2} = \frac{(1 + \beta_i/r)^{n-2} - (s/r + \beta_i/r)^{n-2}}{(1 + \beta_i/r)^{n-2}} \\ &\geq \frac{1 - (s/r)^{n-2}}{(1 + \beta_i/\alpha_i)^{n-2}} \geq A[1 - (s/r)^{n-2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(r + \beta_i) &\geq \alpha + \frac{A}{n-2} \int_0^{\alpha_1} s \tilde{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{A}{n-2} \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} s \tilde{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s + \beta_1) ds \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + \frac{A}{n-2} \int_{\alpha_i}^r s \tilde{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{u}^\sigma(s + \beta_i) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.38) is true for all  $r \in [0, \infty)$ . Let  $\bar{v} = A^{1/(\sigma-1)}v$  and  $\bar{\alpha} = A^{1/(\sigma-1)}\alpha$ . Then (2.38) becomes

$$\bar{v}(r) \geq \bar{\alpha} + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^r s \tilde{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{v}^\sigma(s) ds.$$

Now let  $X$  denote the locally convex space of all continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  with the usual topology and consider the set

$$Y = \{y \in X: \bar{\alpha} \leq y(r) \leq \bar{v}(r) \text{ for } r \geq 0\},$$

where  $\bar{v}$  is defined above. Clearly,  $Y$  is a closed convex subset of  $X$ . Define the mapping  $T$  by

$$(2.39) \quad Ty(r) = \bar{\alpha} + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^r s \tilde{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] y^\sigma(s) ds.$$

If  $y \in Y$ , then  $\bar{\alpha} \leq y(r) \leq \bar{v}(r)$ . Hence we have

$$Ty(r) = \bar{\alpha} + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^r s \tilde{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] y^\sigma(s) ds \geq \bar{\alpha}$$

and

$$Ty(r) \leq \bar{\alpha} + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^r s \tilde{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] \bar{v}^\sigma(s) ds \leq \bar{v}(r).$$

Thus  $T$  maps  $Y$  into itself. Let  $\{y_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset Y$  be a sequence which converges to  $y$  in  $X$ . Then  $\{y_m\}$  converges uniformly to  $y$  on any compact interval of  $[0, \infty)$ . Since

$$(2.40) \quad |Ty_m(r) - Ty(r)| \leq \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^r s \tilde{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] |y_m^\sigma(s) - y^\sigma(s)| ds,$$

we have  $\{Ty_m\}$  converges uniformly to  $Ty$  on any compact interval of  $[0, \infty)$ . Hence  $T$  is a continuous mapping from  $Y$  into  $Y$ . On the other hand, we have

$$(2.41) \quad (Ty)'(r) = \int_0^r \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-1} \tilde{K}(s) y^\sigma(s) ds.$$

Hence for any fixed  $R > 0$ ,  $TY$  is a uniformly bounded and equicontinuous family of functions defined on  $[0, R]$ . Hence  $TY$  is relatively compact. Thus we can use the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem (see Edwards [2, p. 161]) to conclude that  $T$  has a fixed point  $y \in Y$ . This fixed point  $y$  satisfies the integral equation

$$y(r) = \tilde{\alpha} + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^r s \tilde{K}(s) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right] y^\sigma(s) ds.$$

Hence (2.35) has a solution for this  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . This is a contradiction. The theorem is proved. Q.E.D.

**3. The case  $n = 2$ .** In this case, we consider only the situation  $K(x) \geq 0$  in (1.1). Kawano, Kusano and Naito [3] obtain the following existence result: Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function which is positive in some neighborhood of the origin. If

$$K(x) \leq \tilde{K}(|x|) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^2$$

and

$$\int_1^\infty s (\log s)^\sigma \tilde{K}(s) ds < \infty.$$

Then equation (1.1) has infinitely many positive solutions in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with logarithmic growth at infinity.

To our knowledge, there seems no known nonexistence result. Our nonexistence results are

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Let the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense of (2.2) satisfy*

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{K}(r) \geq C/r^2 (\log r)^{\sigma+1} \quad \text{for } r \geq R_0.$$

*Then equation (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u(x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Then we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{u}''(r) + \bar{u}'(r)/r \geq \bar{K}(r) \bar{u}^\sigma(r), \\ \bar{u}(0) = \alpha > 0, \quad \bar{u}'(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{K}$  are defined in (2.1) and (2.2). From (3.2),  $\bar{u}(r)$  satisfies the integral equation

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq \alpha + \int_0^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $K(0) > 0$  and hence  $\bar{K}(0) > 0$ . Thus we have from (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad \bar{u}(r) &\geq \alpha + \int_0^1 s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds + \int_1^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\ &\geq \alpha + \int_0^1 s \log r \bar{K}(s) \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\ &\geq \alpha + \alpha^\sigma \cdot \log r \cdot \int_0^1 s \bar{K}(s) ds \\ &\geq \alpha + C_1 \log r \end{aligned}$$

for  $r \geq 1$  and a constant  $C_1 > 0$ .

Now consider  $r \geq e$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad \bar{u}(r) &\geq \alpha + \int_0^1 s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_e^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds \\
 &\geq C_1 \log r + \int_e^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $v(r) = \bar{u}(r)/\log r$  for  $r \geq e$ . Then from (3.5), we have

$$(3.6) \quad v(r) \geq C_1 + \int_e^r s \left(1 - \frac{\log s}{\log r}\right) \bar{K}(s) (\log s)^\sigma v^\sigma(s) ds.$$

Let  $t = \log s$ ,  $\eta = \log r$  and  $v(e^\eta) = v(r) = \tilde{v}(\eta)$ . Then (3.6) becomes

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{v}(\eta) \geq C_1 + \int_1^\eta t \left(1 - \frac{t}{\eta}\right) e^{2t} \bar{K}(e^t) t^{(\sigma-1)} \tilde{v}^\sigma(t) dt.$$

Let  $\tilde{K}(t) = e^{2t} \bar{K}(e^t) t^{(\sigma-1)}$ . Then from (3.1), we have

$$\tilde{K}(t) \geq C/t^2 \quad \text{for } t \geq \exp(R_0)$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{v}(\eta) \geq C_1 + \int_1^\eta t \left(1 - \frac{t}{\eta}\right) \tilde{K}(t) \tilde{v}^\sigma(t) dt.$$

Using a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain a contradiction. This completes the proof of this theorem. Q.E.D.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Let the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense of (2.2) satisfy*

(3.9) *There exist  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $P > 2$  and  $R_0 > 0$ , such that*

$$\int_{e^R}^{e^{(P-1)R}} s \bar{K}(s) (\log s)^\sigma ds \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } R \geq R_0.$$

(3.10) *There exist  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $R_1 > 0$  and  $C > 0$ , such that*

$$\bar{K}(s) \geq C/s^2 (\log s)^{(\sigma+\alpha)} \quad \text{for all } s \geq R_1.$$

*Then equation (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u(x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have (3.3)–(3.7). Hence

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{v}(\eta) \geq C_1 + \int_1^\eta t \left(1 - \frac{t}{\eta}\right) \tilde{K}(t) \tilde{v}^\sigma(t) dt.$$

But from (3.9) and (3.10),  $\tilde{K}(t)$  satisfies

$$(3.12) \quad \int_R^{(P-1)R} t \tilde{K}(t) dt \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } R \geq R_0,$$

$$(3.13) \quad \tilde{K}(s) \geq C/t^{(1+\alpha)} \quad \text{for all } t \geq \log R_1.$$

Using a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we obtain a contradiction. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

**THEOREM 3.3.** Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Let the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense of (2.2) satisfy

$$(3.14) \quad \int_0^r s \bar{K}(s) (\log s)^\sigma ds \text{ is strictly increasing on } [0, \infty) \text{ and}$$

$$\int_0^\infty s \bar{K}(s) (\log s)^\sigma ds = \infty,$$

$$(3.15) \quad \left( \frac{\log s}{\log r} \right)^m \leq \int_0^s t \bar{K}(t) (\log t)^\sigma dt / \int_0^r t \bar{K}(t) (\log t)^\sigma dt$$

for some  $m > 0$  and for all  $r \geq s \geq R_0 > 0$ . Then equation (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . In particular, if  $\bar{K}(r)$  satisfies (3.14) and  $0 \leq \bar{K}(r) \leq C/r^2 (\log r)^{\sigma+1}$  for  $r \geq R_1$  for some constants  $C > 0$  and  $R_1 > 0$ , then  $\bar{K}(r)$  also satisfies (3.15) and hence (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

**PROOF.** Assume that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u(x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have (3.3)–(3.7). Hence we obtain (3.8) or (3.11). But now  $\tilde{K}(t)$  satisfies

$$(3.15) \quad \int_1^\infty t \tilde{K}(t) dt \text{ is strictly increasing in } [1, \infty) \text{ and}$$

$$\int_1^\infty t \tilde{K}(t) dt = \infty,$$

$$(3.16) \quad \left( \frac{s}{\eta} \right)^m \leq \int_1^s t \tilde{K}(t) dt / \int_1^\eta t \tilde{K}(t) dt$$

for some  $m > 0$  and for all  $\eta \geq s \geq \log R_0$ .

Using a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we obtain a contradiction. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

**THEOREM 3.4.** Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  and  $\tilde{K}(t)$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $[0, \infty)$ . Let the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense of (2.2) satisfy

$$\bar{K}(r) \geq 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_i < r < \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1},$$

$$\bar{K}(r) \geq \tilde{K}(r - \beta_i) \quad \text{if } \alpha_i + \beta_i \leq r \leq \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_i$$

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  is a strictly increasing sequence satisfying  $\alpha_0 = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\{\beta_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  is a nondecreasing sequence satisfying  $\beta_0 = 0$  and  $\beta_i/\alpha_i \leq M$  for some  $M > 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . If

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{cases} u''(r) + u'(r)/r = \tilde{K}(r) u^\sigma(r) & \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ u(0) = \alpha > 0, \quad u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

does not possess any solution in  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ , then (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

**PROOF.** The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 2.4. Hence we only sketch the proof. Assume that (1.1) has a positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Then we have

$$(3.18) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq \alpha + \int_0^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) \bar{u}^\sigma(s) ds.$$

Let

$$v(r) = \bar{u}(r + \beta_i) \quad \text{if } \alpha_i \leq r < \alpha_{i+1}$$

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then

$$(3.19) \quad v(r) \geq \alpha + A \cdot \int_0^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \tilde{K}(s) v^\sigma(s) ds.$$

Let  $X$  denote the locally convex space of all continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$  with the usual topology and consider the set

$$Y = \{y \in X: \tilde{\alpha} \leq y(r) \leq \tilde{v}(r) \text{ for } r \geq 0\}.$$

Define the mapping  $T$  by

$$(3.20) \quad (Ty)(r) = \tilde{\alpha} + \int_0^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \tilde{K}(s) y^\sigma(s) ds.$$

We can prove that  $TY \subset Y$  and  $T$  is continuous. Furthermore  $TY$  is relatively compact. Hence  $T$  has a fixed point in  $Y$ . Thus (3.17) has a solution for this given  $\tilde{\alpha} > 0$ . This is a contradiction. The proof is complete. Q.E.D.

**4. The case  $n = 1$ .** In this case, we also consider only the situation  $K(x) \geq 0$  in (1.1). We give a main existence result which have an extension to the higher-dimensional case. We also give some nonexistence results which may have applications.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a Hölder continuous (actually only continuous is sufficient) function in  $\mathbf{R}$ . If  $K(0) > 0$*

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^\sigma K(x) dx < \infty,$$

*then (1.1) has infinitely many positive solutions in  $\mathbf{R}$  with linear growth at  $|x| = \infty$ .*

**PROOF.** We shall seek solutions  $u$  such that  $u(0) = \alpha > 0$  and  $u'(0) = 0$ . Consider now  $x \geq 0$ . Then equation (1.1) with  $u(0) = \alpha > 0$  and  $u'(0) = 0$  is equivalent to the integral equation

$$(4.2) \quad u(x) = \alpha + \int_0^x (x - t) K(t) u^\sigma(t) dt, \quad x \geq 0.$$

Now choose  $\alpha$  so small that

$$(4.3) \quad 2^\sigma \alpha^{(\sigma-1)} \int_0^1 K(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

$$(4.4) \quad 2^\sigma \alpha^{(\sigma-1)} \int_1^\infty K(t) t^\sigma dt \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 2\alpha & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ 2\alpha x & \text{if } 1 \leq x. \end{cases}$$

As in the proofs of Theorems 2.4 and 3.4, we let  $X$  denote the locally convex space of all continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  with the usual topology and consider the set

$$Y = \{y \in X: \alpha \leq y(x) \leq A(x) \text{ for } x \geq 0\}.$$

Clearly,  $Y$  is a closed convex subset of  $X$ . Let the mapping  $T$  be defined by

$$(4.5) \quad (Ty)(x) = \alpha + \int_0^x (x-t)K(t)y^\sigma(t) dt, \quad x \geq 0.$$

If  $y \in Y$ , then  $\alpha \leq y(x) \leq A(x)$ . Hence we have

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (Ty)(x) &= \alpha + \int_0^x (x-t)K(t)y^\sigma(t) dt \\ &\geq \alpha + \int_0^x (x-t)K(t)\alpha^\sigma dt \geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , we have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} (Ty)(x) &= \alpha + \int_0^x (x-t)K(t)y^\sigma(t) dt \\ &\leq \alpha + \int_0^1 K(t)(2\alpha)^\sigma dt \\ &= \alpha \left[ 1 + 2^\sigma \alpha^{(\sigma-1)} \int_0^1 K(t) dt \right] \\ &\leq \alpha \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right] \leq 2\alpha = A(x). \end{aligned}$$

For  $1 \leq x$ , we have

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} (Ty)(x) &= \alpha + \int_0^1 (x-t)K(t)y^\sigma(t) dt + \int_1^x (x-t)K(t)y^\sigma(t) dt \\ &\leq \alpha + x \int_0^1 K(t)(2\alpha)^\sigma dt + x \int_1^\infty K(t)(2\alpha t)^\sigma dt \\ &\leq \alpha x + \alpha x \left[ 2^\sigma \alpha^{(\sigma-1)} \int_0^1 K(t) dt \right] + \alpha x \left[ 2^\sigma \alpha^{(\sigma-1)} \int_1^\infty K(t)t^\sigma dt \right] \\ &\leq \alpha x \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right] \leq 2\alpha x = A(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T$  maps  $Y$  into itself. Now let  $\{y_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset Y$  be a sequence which converges to  $y$  in  $X$ . Then  $\{y_m\}$  converges uniformly to  $y$  on any compact interval of  $[0, \infty)$ . But

$$(4.9) \quad |Ty_m(x) - Ty(x)| \leq \int_0^x (x-t)K(t)|y_m^\sigma(t) - y^\sigma(t)| dt,$$

we conclude that  $\{Ty_m\}$  converges uniformly to  $Ty$  on any compact interval of  $[0, \infty)$ . Hence  $T$  is a continuous mapping from  $Y$  into  $Y$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, the precompactness of  $T$  can be verified by

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} |(Ty)'(x)| &\leq \int_0^x K(t)y^\sigma(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty K(t)(2\alpha)^\sigma t^\sigma dt < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T$  has a fixed point  $y \in Y$ . This fixed point  $y$  is a solution of equation (1.1) for  $x \geq 0$  with  $y(0) = \alpha$  and  $y'(0) = 0$ .

Similarly, we can find a solution of equation (1.1) for  $x \leq 0$  with  $y(0) = \alpha$  and  $y'(0) = 0$  if  $\alpha$  is sufficiently small. Now let  $y(x)$  be the solution of (1.1) in  $\mathbf{R}$  with

$y(0) = \alpha$ ,  $y'(0) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.11) \quad 2\alpha x &\geq y(x) = \alpha + \int_0^x (x-t)K(y)y^\alpha(t) dt \\
 &\geq \alpha + \int_0^1 (x-1)K(t)\alpha^\alpha dt \\
 &\geq \alpha + k_1(x-1) \geq k_2x
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $x$  large. Hence  $y$  grows linearly at  $|x| = \infty$ . Now we can choose a smaller  $y(0)$ , such as  $y(0) = \alpha/2$  to obtain another solution. This completes the proof of this theorem. Q.E.D.

We can apply this theorem to the higher-dimensional case as used in Ni [13, 14] and Kawano, Kusano and Naito [3].

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ . Let  $\phi_*(x_1)$  and  $\phi^*(x_1)$  be two locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}$ . If*

$$(4.12) \quad 0 \leq \phi_*(x_1) \leq K(x) \leq \phi^*(x_1) \quad \text{for all } x = (x_1, x') \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-1},$$

$$(4.13) \quad \phi_*(0) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x_1|^\sigma \phi^*(x_1) dx_1 < \infty,$$

*then equation (1.1) has infinitely many positive solutions in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which are unbounded.*

**PROOF.** Consider the equations

$$(4.14) \quad d^2\tilde{v}/dx_1^2 = \phi^*(x_1)\tilde{v}^\sigma,$$

$$(4.15) \quad d^2\tilde{w}/dx_1^2 = \phi_*(x_1)\tilde{w}^\sigma.$$

From the proof of Theorem 4.1 we see that (4.14) and (4.15) have unbounded solutions (linear growth at  $\infty$ )  $\tilde{v}$  and  $\tilde{w}$ . We can choose  $\tilde{v}$  and  $\tilde{w}$  such that  $\tilde{v}(x_1) \leq \tilde{w}(x_1)$  for all  $x_1 \in \mathbf{R}$ . Now let

$$(4.16) \quad v(x_1, x') = \tilde{v}(x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad w(x_1, x') = \tilde{w}(x_1).$$

Then from (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta v - K(x)v^\sigma &= \frac{d^2\tilde{v}(x_1)}{dx_1^2} - K(x)\tilde{v}^\sigma(x_1) \\
 &= [\phi^*(x_1) - K(x)]\tilde{v}^\sigma(x_1) \geq 0, \\
 \Delta w - K(x)w^\sigma &= \frac{d^2\tilde{w}(x_1)}{dx_1^2} - K(x)\tilde{w}^\sigma(x_1) \\
 &= [\phi_*(x_1) - K(x)]\tilde{w}^\sigma(x_1) \leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Hence  $v(x_1, x')$  and  $w(x_1, x')$  are, respectively, a subsolution and a supersolution of (1.1) in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Since  $v(x_1, x') \leq w(x_1, x')$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , from Theorem 2.10 of Ni [13], it follows that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u(x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $\tilde{v}(x_1) \leq u(x_1, x') \leq \tilde{w}(x_1)$ . It is easy to see that  $k_1|x_1| \leq u(x_1, x') \leq k_2|x_1|$  for  $|x_1|$  large for some positive constants  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . This completes the proof of the theorem. Q.E.D.

Now let  $u$  be a positive function in  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $K(x) \geq 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Define for  $r \geq 0$

$$(4.17) \quad \bar{u}(r) = (u(r) + u(-r))/2,$$

$$(4.18) \quad \bar{K}(r) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} (K(r)^{-\sigma'/\sigma} + K(-r)^{-\sigma'/\sigma}) \right]^{-\sigma/\sigma'}$$

where  $1/\sigma + 1/\sigma' = 1$ . It is easy to see that

$$(4.19) \quad \bar{u}(0) = u(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{u}'(0) = 0$$

if  $u$  is also continuously differentiable.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}$ . If the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense (4.18) satisfies*

$$(4.20) \quad \bar{K}(r) \geq C/r^{(\sigma+1)}$$

*for  $r \geq R_0$  for some constant  $C > 0$ , then equation (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $u(x)$  is a positive solution of (1.1) in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then we have

$$(4.21) \quad \bar{u}''(r) = \frac{u''(r) + u''(-r)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} [K(r)u^\sigma(r) + K(-r)u^\sigma(-r)].$$

But

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{u}(r) &= \frac{1}{2} [u(r) + u(-r)] \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} (K(r)u^\sigma(r) + K(-r)u^\sigma(-r)) \right]^{1/\sigma} \\ &\quad \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{2} (K^{-\sigma'/\sigma}(r) + K^{-\sigma'/\sigma}(-r)) \right]^{1/\sigma'}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{1}{2} (K(r)u^\sigma(r) + K(-r)u^\sigma(-r)) \geq \bar{K}(r)\bar{u}^\sigma(r).$$

Thus we have

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{u}''(r) \geq \bar{K}(r)\bar{u}^\sigma(r) & \text{for } r > 0, \\ \bar{u}(0) = \alpha > 0, \quad \bar{u}'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence  $\bar{u}$  satisfies

$$(4.25) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq \alpha + \int_0^r (r-t)\bar{K}(t)\bar{u}^\sigma(t) dt.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $K(0) > 0$  and hence  $\bar{K}(0) > 0$ . Thus for  $r \geq 2$ , we have

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{u}(r) &\geq \alpha + \int_0^1 (r-t)\bar{K}(t)\bar{u}^\sigma(t) dt + \int_1^r (r-t)\bar{K}(t)\bar{u}^\sigma(t) dt \\ &\geq \alpha + \left( \alpha^\sigma \cdot \int_0^1 \left( 1 - \frac{t}{r} \right) \bar{K}(t) dt \right) \cdot r + \int_1^r (r-t)\bar{K}(t)\bar{u}^\sigma(t) dt \\ &\geq C_1 \cdot r + \int_1^r (r-t)\bar{K}(t)\bar{u}^\sigma(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_1 = \alpha^\sigma \cdot \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \bar{K}(t) dt = \alpha^\sigma \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \int_0^1 \bar{K}(t) dt > 0.$$

Now let  $\bar{u}(r) = v(r) \cdot r$  for  $r \geq 2$ . We obtain

$$(4.27) \quad v(r) \geq C_1 + \int_1^r t \left(1 - \frac{t}{r}\right) \bar{K}(t) t^{(\sigma-1)} v^\sigma(t) dt.$$

Letting  $\tilde{K}(t) = \bar{K}(t) t^{(\sigma-1)}$ . Then from (4.20), we have

$$(4.28) \quad \tilde{K}(t) \geq C/t^2 \quad \text{for } t \geq R_0$$

and

$$(4.29) \quad v(r) \geq C_1 + \int_1^r t \tilde{K}(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{r}\right) v^\sigma(t) dt.$$

From the proof of Theorem 2.1, we see that it is impossible to have a function  $v$  defined in  $[2, \infty)$  satisfying (4.29). This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}$ . If the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(r)$  in the sense (4.18) satisfies*

$$(4.30) \quad \text{there exist } \alpha > 0, R_0 > 0 \text{ and } C > 0 \text{ such that}$$

$$\bar{K}(r) \geq C/r^{(\sigma+\alpha)} \quad \text{for } r \geq R_0,$$

$$(4.31) \quad \text{there exist } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } P > 2 \text{ such that}$$

$$\int_R^{(P-1)R} r^\sigma \bar{K}(r) dr \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } R \geq R_0.$$

Then equation (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

**PROOF.** Assume on the contrary that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u(x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we have (4.24)–(4.27). But now  $\tilde{K}(r) = r^{(\sigma-1)} \bar{K}(r)$  satisfies

$$(4.32) \quad \tilde{K}(r) \geq C/r^{(1+\alpha)} \quad \text{for } r \geq R_0,$$

$$(4.33) \quad \int_R^{(P-1)R} r \tilde{K}(r) dr \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } R \geq R_0.$$

But from the proof of Theorem 2.2, there is no positive function  $v$  satisfying (4.27). This contradiction proves the theorem. Q.E.D.

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense (4.18) satisfy*

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^r s^\sigma \bar{K}(s) ds \text{ is strictly increasing in } [0, \infty) \text{ and} \\ &\int_0^\infty s^\sigma \bar{K}(s) ds = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.35) \quad \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^m \leq \int_0^s t^\sigma \bar{K}(t) dt / \int_0^r t^\sigma \bar{K}(t) dt \text{ for some } m > 0 \text{ and} \\ \text{for all } r \geq s \geq R_0 > 0.$$

Then equation (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}$ . In particular, if  $\bar{K}(r)$  satisfies (4.34) and  $0 \leq \bar{K}(r) \leq C/r^{(\sigma+1)}$  for  $r \geq R_1$  for some constants  $C > 0$  and  $R_1 > 0$ , then  $\bar{K}(r)$  also satisfies (4.35) and hence (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

PROOF. Assume on the contrary that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u(x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we have (4.24)–(4.27). Now the function  $\tilde{K}(r) = r^{(\sigma-1)}\bar{K}(r)$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.3. Hence there is no positive function  $v$  satisfying (4.27). This contradiction proves the theorem. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 4.6. Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\tilde{K}(r)$  be a continuous function in  $[0, \infty)$ . Let the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense (4.18) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}(r) &\geq 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_i < r < \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1}, \\ \bar{K}(r) &\geq \tilde{K}(r - \beta_i) \quad \text{if } \alpha_i + \beta_i \leq r \leq \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_i \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  is a strictly increasing sequence satisfying  $\alpha_0 = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ , and  $\{\beta_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  is a nondecreasing sequence satisfying  $\beta_0 = 0$  and  $\beta_i/\alpha_i \leq M$  for some  $M > 0$  and for  $i \geq 1$ . If

$$(4.36) \quad \begin{cases} u''(r) = \tilde{K}(r)u^\sigma(r) & \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ u(0) = \alpha > 0, \quad u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

does not possess any positive solution in  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ , then (1.1) does not possess any positive solution in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

PROOF. Assume that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u(x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then we have as in the proof of Theorem 4.3,

$$(4.37) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq \alpha + \int_0^r (r-t)\bar{K}(t)\bar{u}^\sigma(t) dt.$$

Let

$$(4.38) \quad v(r) = \bar{u}(r + \beta_i) \quad \text{if } \alpha_i \leq r < \alpha_{i+1}$$

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we have

$$(4.39) \quad v(r) \geq \alpha + \int_0^r (r-t)\tilde{K}(t)v^\sigma(t) dt.$$

Now we can let  $X$  denote the locally convex space of all continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  with the usual topology and consider the set

$$(4.40) \quad Y = \{y \in X: \alpha \leq y(r) \leq v(r) \text{ for } r \geq 0\},$$

where  $v$  is defined in (4.38). Clearly,  $Y$  is a closed convex subset of  $X$ . We define the mapping  $T$  by

$$(4.41) \quad (Ty)(r) = \alpha + \int_0^r (r-t)\tilde{K}(t)y^\sigma(t) dt.$$

Then it is easy to verify that (i)  $TY \subset Y$ , (ii)  $T$  is continuous and (iii)  $TY$  is precompact. Hence  $T$  has a fixed point in  $Y$ . Thus (4.36) has a solution for this  $\alpha$ . This contradiction completes the proof. Q.E.D.

PART II.  $\Delta u = K(x)e^{2u}$ 

**5. The case  $n \geq 3$ .** In this case, the existence results are very similar to that of §2. Ni [14] proves that, if  $|K(x)| \leq C/|x_1|^l$  for  $|x_1|$  large and uniformly in  $x_2$  for some  $l > 2$ , then equation (1.2) possesses infinitely many bounded solutions in  $\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^{n-m}$ , where  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $m \geq 3$ . Later on, Kusano and Oharu [7] extend the result to the case where  $|K(x)| \leq K(|x_1|)$  for all  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^{n-m}$  and  $\int_0^\infty t\tilde{K}(t) dt < \infty$ . On the other hand, when  $K(x) \geq 0$  in (1.2), Oleinik [15] shows that if  $K(x) \geq C/|x|^P$  at infinity for some  $P < 2$ , then (1.2) has no solution in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . The case when  $K(x)$  behaves like  $C/|x|^2$  at infinity is left unsettled for  $n \geq 3$ . In this section, we give several theorems to settle the nonexistence question of (1.2), in particular we settle the case when  $K(x)$  behaves like  $C/|x|^2$  at infinity.

We need some notations first. Let  $u$  be a smooth function in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Following Ni [13] and Sattinger [16], we define the averages of  $u$  and  $K$  by  $\bar{u}(r)$  and  $\bar{K}(r)$ ,

$$(5.1) \quad \bar{u}(r) = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{|x|=r} u(x) dS,$$

$$(5.2) \quad \bar{K}(r) = \left( \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{|x|=r} \frac{dS}{K(x)} \right)^{-1}.$$

We have

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $u(x)$  be a solution of (1.2) in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $K(x) \geq 0$ . Then  $\bar{u}(r)$  satisfies*

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{u}''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} \bar{u}'(r) \geq \bar{K}(r) e^{2\bar{u}(r)}, & r \in (0, \infty), \\ \bar{u}(0) = u(0), & \bar{u}'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. From the definition of  $\bar{u}$ , we have

$$\bar{u}'(r) = \frac{1}{\omega_n \int_{|\xi|=1} \nabla u(r\xi) \cdot \xi dS} = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{|x|=r} \sum_i u_{x_i} \xi_i dS.$$

Thus,

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \omega_n (r^{n-1} \bar{u}'(r) - R^{n-1} \bar{u}'(R)) \\ &= \int_D \Delta u dx = \int_R^r \left( \int_{|x|=t} \Delta u dS \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $D = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: R < |x| < r\}$ . Hence we have

$$(5.5) \quad \omega_n (r^{n-1} \bar{u}'(r))' = \int_{|x|=r} \Delta u dS = \int_{|x|=r} K(x) e^{2u(x)} dS.$$

Now Jensen's and Cauchy-Schwarz's inequalities give

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{2\bar{u}(r)} &= (e^{\bar{u}(r)})^2 \leq \left( \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{|x|=r} e^{u(x)} dS \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{|x|=r} K(x) e^{2u(x)} dS \right) \left( \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{|x|=r} \frac{dS}{K(x)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{1}{\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{|x|=r} K(x) e^{2u(x)} dS \geq \bar{K}(r) e^{2\bar{u}(r)}.$$

Combining (5.5) and (5.7), we obtain the first equation of (5.3).  $\bar{u}(0) = u(0)$  and  $\bar{u}'(0) = 0$  can also be easily obtained. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Now we can state our main nonexistence theorems.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . If  $\bar{K}(r)$ , as defined in (5.2), satisfies*

$$(5.8) \quad \bar{K}(r) \geq C/r^2$$

*for  $r \geq R_0$  for some constant  $C > 0$ , then equation (1.2) does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $u$  is a locally bounded solution of (1.2) in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then the average  $\bar{u}$  satisfies (5.3) from Lemma 5.1. Let  $\bar{u}(0) = u(0) = \alpha$ . Then  $\bar{u}$  also satisfies

$$(5.9) \quad \bar{u}'(r) \geq \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{n-1} \bar{K}(s) e^{2\bar{u}(s)} ds,$$

$$(5.10) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq \alpha + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^r s \bar{K}(s) \left[1 - \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{n-2}\right] e^{2\bar{u}(s)} ds.$$

Hence

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{u}(r) &\geq \alpha + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_0^{r/2} s \bar{K}(s) \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-2}\right] e^{2\alpha} ds \\ &= \alpha + \frac{1}{n-2} \cdot e^{2\alpha} \cdot \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-2}\right] \cdot \int_0^{r/2} s \bar{K}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists a constant  $R_0$ , such that  $\bar{u}(R_0) \geq 1$ . For  $r \geq R_0$ , we have

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{u}(r) &\geq 1 + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{R_0}^r s \bar{K}(s) \left[1 - \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{n-2}\right] e^{2\bar{u}(s)} ds \\ &\geq 1 + \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{R_0}^r s \bar{K}(s) \left[1 - \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{n-2}\right] \bar{u}^2(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (5.8) and the proof of Theorem 2.1, we conclude that no function  $\bar{u}$  can satisfy (5.12) in  $[R_0, \infty)$ . This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . If  $\bar{K}(r)$ , as defined in (5.2), satisfies*

$$(5.13) \quad \text{there exist } \alpha > 0, R_0 > 0 \text{ and } C > 0, \text{ such that}$$

$$\bar{K}(r) \geq C/r^\alpha \quad \text{for } r \geq R_0,$$

$$(5.14) \quad \text{there exist } \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } P > 2, \text{ such that}$$

$$\int_R^{(P-1)R} r \bar{K}(r) dr \geq \epsilon \quad \text{for } R \geq R_0,$$

*then equation (1.2) does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $u$  is a locally bounded solution of (1.2) in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we have (5.9)–(5.12). But from (5.13), (5.14) and Theorem 2.2, there is no function  $\bar{u}(r)$  defined on  $[R_0, \infty)$  satisfying (5.12). This contradiction proves the theorem. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 5.3. Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function. If  $\bar{K}(r)$ , as defined in (5.2), satisfies

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^r s \bar{K}(s) ds \text{ is strictly increasing in } [0, \infty) \text{ and} \\ & \int_0^\infty s \bar{K}(s) ds = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.16) \quad \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^m \leq \int_0^s t \bar{K}(t) dt / \int_0^r t \bar{K}(t) dt \text{ for some } m > 0 \text{ and} \\ \text{for all } r \geq s \geq R_0 > 0.$$

Then equation (1.2) does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . In particular, if  $\bar{K}(r)$  satisfies (5.15) and  $0 \leq \bar{K}(r) \leq C/r^2$  for  $r \geq R_1$  for some constants  $C > 0$  and  $R_1 > 0$ , then  $\bar{K}(r)$  also satisfies (5.16) and hence (1.2) does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

PROOF. Using the proofs of Theorems 5.1 and 2.3, we can easily obtain a proof. We omit the details. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 5.4. Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\tilde{K}(t)$  be a locally Hölder continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Let the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense of (5.2) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}(r) &\geq 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_i < r < \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1}, \\ \bar{K}(r) &\geq \tilde{K}(r - \beta_i) \quad \text{if } \alpha_i + \beta_i \leq r \leq \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_i \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  and  $\{\beta_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  are two sequences satisfying the same conditions as in Theorem 2.4. If

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{cases} u''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} u'(r) = \tilde{K}(r) e^{2u(r)} & \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ u(0) = \alpha, \quad u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $[0, \infty)$  for any real number  $\alpha$ , then (1.2) does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

PROOF. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.4. Hence we omit the details. Q.E.D.

**6. The case  $n = 2$ .** In the case  $n = 2$  and  $K(x) \geq 0$ , Ni [14] shows that: If  $K(x) \not\equiv 0$  and  $K(x) \leq C/|x|^l$  at infinity for some  $l > 2$ , then for every  $\alpha \in (0, \beta)$  where  $\beta = \min\{8, (l-2)/3\}$ , there exists a solution  $u$  of (1.2) such that

$$\log|x|^\alpha - C' \leq u(x) \leq \log|x|^\alpha + C''$$

for  $|x|$  large, where  $C'$  and  $C''$  are two constants.

Later, McOwen [10, 11] improves this result by giving a sharp bound on  $\beta$  and sharp behavior of  $u$  at infinity. For the nonexistence results, Sattinger [16] proves

Let  $K$  be a smooth function on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . If  $K \geq 0$  on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  and  $K(x) \geq C/|x|^2$  at infinity, then (1.2) has no solution on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Ni [14] improves Sattinger's result to include the  $K$  such as  $K = (1 + \sin r)/r^2$ .

In this section, we give an existence result which overlaps parts of the results of Ni [14] and McOwen [10, 11] but with different method. We also give some nonexistence results improving Ni's result.

**THEOREM 6.1.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Let  $K_1(r)$  and  $K_2(r)$  be two locally Hölder continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$ . If*

$$(6.1) \quad K_1(0) > 0,$$

$$(6.2) \quad 0 \leq K_1(|x|) \leq K(x) \leq K_2(|x|) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^2,$$

$$(6.3) \quad \text{there exists } \alpha > 0 \text{ such that } \int_0^\infty s^{(1+2\alpha)} K_2(s) ds < \infty,$$

*then (1.2) has infinitely many solutions on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with logarithmic growth at infinity.*

**PROOF.** Consider the equations

$$(6.4) \quad \Delta v = K_1(|x|)e^{2v}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^2,$$

$$(6.5) \quad \Delta w = K_2(|x|)e^{2w}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^2.$$

From (6.2), it is easy to see that a solution  $v$  of (6.4) is a supersolution of (1.2) and a solution  $w$  of (6.5) is a subsolution of (1.2) in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . It is natural to seek solutions of  $v$  and  $w$  depending only on  $|x|$ . Consider now (6.5). We try to find a solution  $w(|x|)$  of (6.5) with  $w(0) = \beta$  and  $w'(0) = 0$ . Then (6.5) is equivalent to the following integral equation

$$(6.6) \quad w(r) = \beta + \int_0^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2w(s)} ds.$$

Now we choose  $0 < \alpha' < \alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$(6.7) \quad \int_0^e s \log\left(\frac{e}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2(\beta+1)} ds < \frac{1}{2},$$

$$(6.8) \quad \int_0^e s K_2(s) e^{2(\beta+1)} ds < \frac{\alpha'}{2},$$

$$(6.9) \quad \int_e^\infty s^{(1+2\alpha')} K_2(s) e^{2(\beta+1)} ds < \frac{\alpha'}{2},$$

$$(6.10) \quad \int_e^\infty s^{(1+2\alpha')} \log\left(\frac{e}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2(\beta+1)} ds < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Define the function  $A_\beta(r)$  by

$$(6.11) \quad A_\beta(r) = (\beta + 1) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq e,$$

$$A_\beta(r) = (\beta + 1) + \alpha' \log(r/e) \quad \text{if } e \leq r.$$

Now let  $X$  denote the locally convex space of all continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  with the usual topology and consider the set

$$(6.12) \quad Y = \{w \in X: \beta \leq w(r) \leq A_\beta(r), r \in [0, \infty)\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $Y$  is a closed convex subset of  $X$ . Let  $T$  be the mapping

$$(6.13) \quad (Tw)(r) = \beta + \int_0^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2w(s)} ds.$$

We shall prove that  $T$  is a continuous mapping from  $Y$  into itself such that  $TY$  is relatively compact.

First, we verify that  $TY \subset Y$ . Assume  $w \in Y$ . Hence we have

$$(6.14) \quad \beta \leq w(r) \leq A_\beta(r) \quad \text{for } r \in [0, \infty).$$

It is easy to see that  $Tw$  is also continuous and  $\beta \leq Tw(r)$  for  $r \in [0, \infty)$ . Now for  $0 \leq r \leq e$ , we have

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} (Tw)(r) &= \beta + \int_0^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2w(s)} ds \\ &\leq \beta + \int_0^e s \log\left(\frac{e}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2(\beta+1)} ds \\ &\leq (\beta + 1) = A_\beta(r). \end{aligned}$$

For  $e \leq r$ , we have

$$(6.16) \quad \begin{aligned} (Tw)(r) &= \beta + \int_0^e s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2w(s)} ds \\ &\quad + \int_e^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2w(s)} ds \\ &\leq \beta + \int_0^e s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2A_\beta(s)} ds \\ &\quad + \int_e^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2A_\beta(s)} ds \\ &\leq \beta + \log\left(\frac{r}{e}\right) \int_0^e s K_2(s) e^{2(\beta+1)} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^e s \log\left(\frac{e}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2(\beta+1)} ds \\ &\quad + \log\left(\frac{r}{e}\right) \int_e^\infty s^{(1+2\alpha')} K_2(s) e^{2(\beta+1)} ds \\ &\quad + \int_e^\infty s^{(1+2\alpha')} \log\left(\frac{e}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2(\beta+1)} ds \\ &\leq \beta + \frac{\alpha'}{2} \log\left(\frac{r}{e}\right) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha'}{2} \log\left(\frac{r}{e}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \\ &= (\beta + 1) + \alpha' \log\left(\frac{r}{e}\right) \\ &= A_\beta(r). \end{aligned}$$

This verifies that  $TY \subset Y$ .

Now let  $\{w_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset Y$  be a sequence converges to  $w \in Y$  in the space  $X$ . Then  $\{w_m\}$  converges to  $w$  uniformly on any compact interval on  $[0, \infty)$ . Now

$$(6.17) \quad |Tw_m(r) - Tw(r)| \leq \int_0^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) K_2(s) |e^{2w_m(s)} - e^{2w(s)}| ds$$

But

$$(6.18) \quad s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) K_2(s) |e^{2w_m(s)} - e^{2w(s)}| \leq s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) K_2(s) (e^{2A_\beta(s)} - e^{2\beta}) \\ \leq s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) K_2(s) e^{2A_\beta(s)}$$

and  $s \log(r/s) K_2(s) e^{2A_\beta(s)}$  is integrable. Hence from (6.17) and the uniform convergence of  $w_m$  to  $w$  on any compact interval, we conclude that  $Tw_m$  converges to  $Tw$  in  $X$ . This verifies that  $T$  is continuous in  $Y$ . We can easily compute that

$$(6.19) \quad (Tw)'(r) = \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right) K_2(s) e^{2w(s)} ds \\ \leq \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right) K_2(s) e^{2A_\beta(s)} ds.$$

Hence, on any compact interval of  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $TY$  is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. This proves that  $TY$  is relatively compact in  $Y$ . Thus we can apply the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem to conclude that  $T$  has a fixed point  $w$  in  $Y$ . This fixed point  $w$  is a solution of (6.6) and hence a solution of (6.5). Note that, when we have a solution  $w$  of (6.6) with a given  $\beta$ , then we also have a solution  $w$  of (6.6) with  $\beta$  replaced by smaller  $\beta$ 's.

Similarly, we can construct solution  $v(|x|)$  of (6.4) such that  $v(0) = \beta'$  and  $v'(0) = 0$ . For a given  $\beta'$ , since  $K_1(0) > 0$ , we can choose  $\beta < \beta'$ , such that (6.6) has a solution  $w$  and  $w(r) \leq v(r)$  for all  $r \in [0, \infty)$ . Using Theorem 2.10 of Ni [13], we conclude that (1.2) has a solution  $u(x)$  between  $w(|x|)$  and  $v(|x|)$ . Now we can choose another  $\beta'$  smaller than this  $\beta$  to repeat the arguments. This completes the proof of this theorem. Q.E.D.

**THEOREM 6.2.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . If  $\bar{K}(r)$ , as defined in (5.2), satisfies*

$$(6.20) \quad \bar{K}(r) \geq C/r^2(\log r)^a$$

*for  $r \geq R_0$  for some constants  $C > 0$  and  $a > 0$ , then equation (1.2) does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $u$  is a locally bounded solution of (1.2) in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Then the average  $\bar{u}$  satisfies (5.3) for  $n = 2$ . Letting  $\bar{u}(0) = \beta = u(0)$ , we have

$$(6.21) \quad \bar{u}'(r) \geq \int_0^r \left(\frac{s}{r}\right) \bar{K}(s) e^{2\bar{u}(s)} ds,$$

$$(6.22) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq \beta + \int_0^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) e^{2\bar{u}(s)} ds.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $K(0) > 0$  and hence  $\bar{K}(0) > 0$ . For  $r \geq e$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.23) \quad \bar{u}(r) &\geq \beta + \int_0^1 s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) e^{2\bar{u}(s)} ds \\
 &\quad + \int_1^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) e^{2\bar{u}(s)} ds \\
 &\geq \beta + \int_0^1 s \log r \bar{K}(s) e^{2\beta} ds + \int_1^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) e^{2\bar{u}(s)} ds \\
 &\geq \beta + C_1 \log r + \int_e^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) e^{2\bar{u}(s)} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists a constant  $R_0$  such that, for  $r \geq R_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.24) \quad \bar{u}(r) &\geq C_2 \log r + \int_e^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) e^{2\bar{u}(s)} ds \\
 &\geq C_2 \log r + \int_{R_0}^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) e^{2\bar{u}(s)} ds
 \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C_2 > 0$ . Let

$$(6.25) \quad \bar{u}(r) = \frac{1}{2} C_2 \log r + v(r) \quad \text{for } r \geq R_0.$$

From (6.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.26) \quad v(r) &\geq \frac{1}{2} C_2 \log r + \int_{R_0}^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) s^{C_2} e^{2v(s)} ds \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} C_2 \log r + \int_{R_0}^r s \log\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \bar{K}(s) s^{C_2} v^2(s) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

But from assumption (6.20), we have

$$(6.23) \quad \bar{K}(s) s^{C_2} \geq C/s^{2-C_2} (\log s)^a \geq C/s^2$$

for  $s \geq R_1 > R_0$ . Hence from Theorem 3.1, there is no  $v$  in  $[R_0, \infty)$  satisfying (6.26). This completes the proof of this theorem.

**THEOREM 6.3.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . If  $\bar{K}(r)$ , as defined in (5.2), satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.24) \quad &\int_0^r s^{1+\alpha} \bar{K}(s) ds \text{ is monotonically strictly increasing in} \\
 &[0, \infty) \text{ for all } \alpha > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(6.25) \quad \text{For given any } \alpha > 0, \text{ there exists an } R_\alpha > 0 \text{ such that}$$

$$\left( \frac{\log s}{\log r} \right)^m \leq \int_0^s t^{1+\alpha} \bar{K}(t) dt / \int_0^r t^{1+\alpha} \bar{K}(t) dt$$

for some  $m > 0$  and for all  $r \geq s \geq R_\alpha$ , then (1.2) does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

PROOF. Assume that  $u$  is a locally bounded solution of (1.2) in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Then as in the proof of Theorem 6.2, we have (6.21)–(6.26). Now we can let  $w(r) \log r = v(r)$  for  $r \geq R_0$ . Then from (6.26), we have

$$(6.27) \quad w(r) \geq \frac{1}{2} C_2 + \int_{R_0}^r s \left( 1 - \frac{\log s}{\log r} \right) \bar{K}(s) s^{C_2} v^2(s) ds.$$

Now using a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we conclude that there is no function  $w$  satisfying (6.27). This contradiction proves the theorem. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 6.4. Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  and  $\tilde{K}(t)$  be a locally Hölder continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Let the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense of (5.2) satisfy the same assumptions as in Theorem 5.4. If

$$(6.28) \quad \begin{cases} u''(r) + \frac{u'(r)}{r} = \tilde{K}(r) e^{2u(r)} & \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ u(0) = \alpha, \quad u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $[0, \infty)$  for any real number  $\alpha$ , then (1.2) does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

PROOF. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.4. Hence we omit the details. Q.E.D.

**7. The case  $n = 1$ .** In this case, we consider only the situation  $K(x) \geq 0$  in (1.2). We give a main existence result which has an extension to the higher-dimensional case. We also give some nonexistence results.

THEOREM 7.1. Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}$ . If  $K(0) > 0$  and there exists an  $\alpha > 0$ , such that

$$(7.1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\alpha|x|} K(x) dx < \infty,$$

then (1.2) has infinitely many locally bounded solutions in  $\mathbf{R}$  with linear growth at  $|x| = \infty$ .

PROOF. We shall seek solution  $u$  such that  $u(0) = \beta$  and  $u'(0) = 0$ . Consider now  $x \geq 0$ . In this situation, (1.2) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$(7.2) \quad u(x) = \beta + \int_0^x (x-t) K(t) e^{2u(t)} dt, \quad x \geq 0.$$

Now choose  $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$  so that

$$(7.3) \quad \int_0^1 K(t) e^{2(\beta+1)} dt \leq \min\left\{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right\},$$

$$(7.4) \quad \int_1^{\infty} K(t) e^{2\alpha t} e^{2(\beta+1)} dt \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Let

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} (\beta + 1) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ (\beta + 1) + \alpha x & \text{if } 1 < x. \end{cases}$$

As in the proofs of Theorems 2.4 and 3.4, we let  $X$  denote the locally convex space of all continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  with the usual topology and consider the set

$$Y = \{y \in X: \beta \leq y(x) \leq A(x) \text{ for } x \geq 0\}.$$

Clearly,  $Y$  is a closed convex subset of  $X$ . Now define the mapping  $T$  by

$$(7.5) \quad (Ty)(x) = \beta + \int_0^x (x-t)K(t)e^{2y(t)} dt.$$

If  $y \in Y$ , then  $\beta \leq y(x) \leq A(x)$ . Hence we have

$$(7.6) \quad (Ty)(x) = \beta + \int_0^x (x-t)K(t)e^{2y(t)} dt \geq \beta.$$

On the other hand, for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , we have

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} (Ty)(x) &= \beta + \int_0^x (x-t)K(t)e^{2y(t)} dt \\ &\leq \beta + \int_0^1 K(t)e^{2(\beta+1)} dt \\ &\leq \beta + 1 = A(x). \end{aligned}$$

For  $1 < x$ , we have

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} (Ty)(x) &= \beta + \int_0^1 (x-t)K(t)e^{2y(t)} dt + \int_1^x (x-t)K(t)e^{2y(t)} dt \\ &\leq \beta + x \cdot \int_0^1 K(t)e^{2(\beta+1)} dt + x \cdot \int_1^\infty K(t)e^{2\alpha t} e^{2(\beta+1)} dt \\ &\leq \beta + \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot x + \frac{\alpha}{2} x \leq (\beta + 1) + \alpha x = A(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T$  maps  $Y$  into itself. As in the proofs of Theorems 2.4, 3.4 and 4.1, we can easily verify that  $T$  is continuous and  $TY$  is precompact. Hence  $T$  has a fixed point  $y \in Y$ . This fixed point  $y$  is a solution of (1.2) for  $x \geq 0$  with  $y(0) = \beta$  and  $y'(0) = 0$ .

Similarly, we can find a solution of (1.2) for  $x \leq 0$  with  $y(0) = \beta$  and  $y'(0) = 0$  provided that  $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$  is properly selected. It is also easy to see that if  $y$  is a solution of (1.2) with  $y(0) = \beta$  and  $y'(0) = 0$ , then there is also solution  $y$  with  $y(0) = \beta'$  and  $y'(0) = 0$  provided that  $\beta' < \beta$ . The linear growth of solutions at  $|x| = \infty$  can be easily established as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. This completes the proof of this theorem. Q.E.D.

We can apply this theorem to the higher-dimensional case as used in Ni [13, 14] and Kawano, Kusano and Naito [3].

**THEOREM 7.2.** *Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ . Let  $\phi_*(x_1)$  and  $\phi^*(x_1)$  be two locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}$ . If*

$$(7.9) \quad 0 \leq \phi_*(x_1) \leq K(x) \leq \phi^*(x_1) \quad \text{for all } x = (x_1, x') \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-1},$$

$$(7.10) \quad \phi_*(0) > 0 \text{ and } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\alpha|x_1|} \phi^*(x_1) dx_1 < \infty \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 0,$$

*then equation (1.2) has infinitely many locally bounded solutions in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .*

PROOF. The proof is actually similar to that of Theorem 4.2. We omit the details. Q.E.D.

Now let  $u$  be smooth function on  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a continuous function on  $\mathbf{R}$ . We define the averages  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{K}$  by

$$(7.11) \quad \bar{u}(r) = \frac{1}{2} [u(r) + u(-r)], \quad r \geq 0,$$

$$(7.12) \quad \bar{K}(r) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} (K(r)^{-1} + K(-r)^{-1}) \right]^{-1}, \quad r \geq 0.$$

Our nonexistence results are

THEOREM 7.3. Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function on  $\mathbf{R}$ . If the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense of (7.12) satisfies

$$(7.13) \quad \bar{K}(r) \geq C/r^a$$

for  $r \geq R_0$  and for some constants  $C > 0$ ,  $a > 0$ , then equation (1.2) does not possess any locally bounded solution on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

PROOF. Assume that  $u(x)$  be a solution of (1.2) in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then we have

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{u}''(r) &= \frac{1}{2} [u''(r) + u''(-r)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [K(r)e^{2u(r)} + K(-r)e^{2u(-r)}]. \end{aligned}$$

But we have

$$(7.15) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{2\bar{u}(r)} &= (e^{\bar{u}(r)})^2 \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} (e^{u(r)} + e^{u(-r)}) \right]^2 \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} (K(r)e^{2u(r)} + K(-r)e^{2u(-r)}) \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{2} (K(r)^{-1} + K(-r)^{-1}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(7.16) \quad \bar{u}''(r) \geq \bar{K}(r)e^{2\bar{u}(r)}, \quad r \geq 0.$$

It is also easy to see that  $\bar{u}(0) = u(0)$  and  $\bar{u}'(0) = 0$ . From (7.16), we have

$$(7.17) \quad \bar{u}'(r) \geq \int_0^r \bar{K}(t)e^{2\bar{u}(t)} dt,$$

$$(7.18) \quad \bar{u}(r) \geq \beta + \int_0^r (r-t)\bar{K}(t)e^{2\bar{u}(t)} dt.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $K(0) > 0$  and hence  $\bar{K}(0) > 0$ . For  $r \geq 1$ , we have

$$(7.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{u}(r) &\geq \beta + \int_0^1 (r-t)\bar{K}(t)e^{2\bar{u}(t)} dt + \int_1^r (r-t)\bar{K}(t)e^{2\bar{u}(t)} dt \\ &\geq \beta + r \int_0^1 (1-t)\bar{K}(t)e^{2\beta} dt + \int_1^r (r-t)\bar{K}(t)e^{2\bar{u}(t)} dt \\ &\geq 2C_1 \cdot r + \int_{R_1}^r (r-t)\bar{K}(t)e^{2\bar{u}(t)} dt \end{aligned}$$

for  $r \geq R_1 > 1$  and for some  $C_1 > 0$ . Now let  $v(r) = \bar{u}(r) + C_1 \cdot r$ . We have from (7.19)

$$(7.20) \quad v(r) \geq C_1 \cdot r + \int_{R_1}^r (r-t) \bar{K}(t) e^{2C_1 t} \cdot e^{2v(t)} dt.$$

Let  $v(r) = w(r) \cdot r$ , we have

$$(7.21) \quad w(r) \geq C_1 + \int_{R_1}^r \left(1 - \frac{t}{r}\right) \bar{K}(t) e^{2C_1 t} \cdot e^{2w(t)} dt.$$

Now let  $\tilde{K}(t) = t^{-1} \bar{K}(t) e^{2C_1 t}$ . We have from (7.13)

$$(7.22) \quad \tilde{K}(t) \geq C/t^2$$

for  $t \geq R_2 > R_1$  for some  $C > 0$ . But (7.21) becomes

$$(7.23) \quad w(r) \geq C_1 + \int_{R_1}^r t \left(1 - \frac{t}{r}\right) \tilde{K}(t) w^2(t) dt.$$

From Theorem 2.1, there is no function  $w$  satisfying (7.23). This contradiction proves the theorem. Q.E.D.

**THEOREM 7.4.** Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function on  $\mathbf{R}$ . If the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense of (7.12) satisfies

$$(7.24) \quad \int_0^r e^{\alpha s} \bar{K}(s) ds \text{ is strictly increasing and } \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha s} \bar{K}(s) ds = \infty$$

for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

For any given  $\alpha > 0$ , there exists  $R_\alpha > 0$ , such that

$$(7.25) \quad \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^m \leq \int_0^s e^{\alpha t} \bar{K}(t) dt / \int_0^r e^{\alpha t} \bar{K}(t) dt$$

for some  $m > 0$  and for  $r \geq s \geq R_\alpha$ , then equation (1.2) does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

**PROOF.** Using the proofs of Theorems 7.3 and 2.3, we can easily prove this theorem. We omit the details. Q.E.D.

**THEOREM 7.5.** Let  $K(x) \geq 0$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\tilde{K}(t)$  be a locally Hölder continuous function in  $[0, \infty)$ . Let the average  $\bar{K}(r)$  of  $K(x)$  in the sense of (7.12) satisfy the same assumptions as in Theorem 5.4. If

$$(7.26) \quad \begin{cases} u''(r) = \tilde{K}(r) e^{2u(r)} & \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ u(0) = \beta, \quad u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $[0, \infty)$  for any real number  $\beta$ , then equation (1.2) does not possess any locally bounded solution in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

**PROOF.** The proof is quite similar to that of Theorem 2.4. Hence we omit it. Q.E.D.

## REFERENCES

1. W.-Y. Ding and W.-M. Ni, *On the elliptic equation  $\Delta u + Ku^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = 0$  and related topics*, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), 485–506.
2. R. E. Edwards, *Functional analysis*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1965.
3. N. Kawano, T. Kusano and M. Naito, *On the elliptic equation  $\Delta u = \phi(x)u\gamma$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1985), 73–78.

4. N. Kawano and T. Kusano, *On positive entire solutions of a class of second order semilinear elliptic systems*, Math. Z. **186** (1984), 287–297.
5. J. Kazdan and F. Warner, *Scalar curvature and conformal deformation of Riemannian structure*, J. Differential Geom. **10** (1975), 113–134.
6. J. B. Keller, *On solutions of  $\Delta u = f(u)$* , Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1957), 503–510.
7. T. Kusano and S. Oharu, *Bounded entire solutions of second order semilinear elliptic equation with application to a parabolic initial value problem*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **34** (1985), 85–95.
8. T. Kusano, C. A. Swanson and H. Usami, *Pairs of positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations in exterior domains*, Pacific J. Math. **120** (1985), 385–399.
9. F.-H. Lin, *On the elliptic equation  $D_i[a_{ij}(x)D_jU] - k(x)U + K(x)U^p = 0$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1985), 219–226.
10. R. McOwen, *On the equation  $\Delta u + Ke^{2u} = f$  and prescribed negative curvature in  $\mathbf{R}^2$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **103** (1984), 365–370.
11. ———, *Conformal metric in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with prescribed Gaussian curvature and positive total curvature*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **34** (1985), 97–104.
12. M. Naito, *A note on bounded positive entire solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, Hiroshima Math. J. **14** (1984), 211–214.
13. W.-M. Ni, *On the elliptic equation  $\Delta u + K(x)u^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = 0$ , its generalizations, and applications in geometry*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **31** (1982), 493–529.
14. ———, *On the elliptic equation  $\Delta u + k(x)e^{2u} = 0$  and conformal metrics with prescribed Gaussian curvatures*, Invent. Math. **66** (1982), 343–352.
15. O. A. Oleinik, *On the equation  $\Delta u + k(x)e^u = 0$* , Russian Math. Surveys **33** (1978), 243–244.
16. D. H. Sattinger, *Conformal metric in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with prescribed curvature*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **22** (1972), 1–4.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHIAO-TUNG UNIVERSITY, HSINCHU, TAIWAN 300, REPUBLIC OF CHINA (Current address of Jenn-Tsann Lin)

Current address (Kuo-Shung Cheng): Institute of Applied Mathematics, Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 30043, Republic of China